

REMARKS

Response to § 112 rejection of Claims 60-63, 66-69, 73-83 and 85-90

On page 2 of the Office Action, Claims 60-63, 66-69, 73-83 and 85-90 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 1.112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection because the claims are definite.

The Office Action admits that the monomeric units making up the polymer are set forth in the present claims, yet somehow simultaneously asserts that the polymer structure is not adequately set forth. Applicant respectfully submits that the Office Action is mistaken.

A polymer is made of monomer units. Accordingly, it is appropriate to define a polymer by reference to the monomers making up the polymer. The polymeric structure of a polymer defined by the monomer units therein would be clear to a person having ordinary skill in the art. There is, therefore, no need to set forth a particular polymer structure in order to make the present claims definite - they are definite already.


In view of the above, the reconsideration and withdrawal of this § 112 rejection is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,



Travis B. Ribar
Registration No. 61,446

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: August 7, 2008